

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,350	12/20/2000	Janet Doong	2204/A44	9258
2101 75	2101 7590 06/03/2004 EXAMINER		INER	
BROMBERG 125 SUMMER	& SUNSTEIN LLP	BARQADLE, YASIN M		
	BOSTON, MA 02110-1618		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	10
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/747,350	DOONG ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Yasin M Barqadle	2153			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)  🏻	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 M	arch 2004.				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	<ul> <li>Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.</li> <li>Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority documents  Certified copies of the priority documents  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	it(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/747,350 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

## Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments filed on March 18, 2004 have been considered and are deemed persuasive. However, they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claims 1-11 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in sect ion 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marques et al USPN (6643706) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter ``AAPA'').

As per claim 1, Marques et al teach a method for synchronizing a route change in a routing table with a plurality of routing protocols (fig. 2, 220(1-N)) in a network device in a communication network device, the method comprising (fig. 2):

Application/Control Number: 09/747,350

Art Unit: 2153

assigning a route ID value (route entries 310 (1) - (N) to each route in the routing table (routing table 240, fig 2) [col.6, lines 21-57];

assigning a bookmark in a route change queue to each routing protocol, the bookmark having a value equivalent to the route ID value of the last route processed by the routing protocol [col. 13, line 1-41 and col.14, lines 2-60];

assigning a new route ID value to each route changed in the routing table [as routes change node's index is set to current value col. 13, lines 11-41];

storing each route changed in the route change queue [col. 13, line 46]; and

comparing the bookmark value of each routing protocol to the highest route ID value in the route change queue [col. 13, lines 11-41 and col. 16, lines 26-50].

Although Marques et al shows substantial features of the claimed invention including plurality of routing protocols, he does not explicitly show a multicast routing protocol.

Nonetheless, this feature is well known in the art and would have been an obvious modification of the system disclosed by Marques et al, as evidenced by AAPA.

In analogous art, AAPA disclose a multicast routing protocol associated with multicast packets [page 1, last paragraph and page firs paragraph]. Giving the teaching of AAPA, a person of ordinary skill in the art would have readily recognized the desirability and the advantage of modifying Marques et al by

Application/Control Number: 09/747,350

Art Unit: 2153

employing the multicasting routing protocol of AAPA for the benefit of allowing information providers to transmit a packet of multicast information simultaneously to a plurality of consumers in a multicast group.

As per claim 2, Marques et al teach the method according to claim 1, wherein the route change is the addition of a new route to the routing table [col. 15, lines 10-23].

As per claim 3, Marques et al teach the method according to claim 1, wherein the route change is the deletion of a route from the routing table [col. 15, lines 10-23].

As per claim 4, Marques et al teach the method according to claim 1, wherein the route change is updating a route in the routing table [col. 15, lines 10-23].

As per claim 5, Marques et al teach the method according to claim 1, further including processing routes in the route change queue with route ID values greater than the bookmark value of the routing protocol [col. 13, lines 11-41 and col.14, lines 2-60].

As per claim 6, Marques et al teach a route entry for a route in a routing table for a plurality of routing protocols (fig. 2, 220(1-N)), the route entry comprising (fig. 1 and 2):

Application/Control Number: 09/747,350

Art Unit: 2153

an address for the route source network [address of source node fig. 8, 810 (1) col. 12, lines 27-51];

an address for the next hop of the route [address of the next hop node fig. 8, 810 (2) col. 12, lines 27-51];

an address for the next hop interface of the route [interface of next hop node fig. 8, 810 (2) col. 12, lines 27-51];

a route state value for indicating the current state of the route [col. 4, lines 15-35 and col. 10, lines 9-39];

a routing protocol identifier for identifying the routing protocol associated with the route [col. 8, lines 30 to col. 9, line 7]; and

a route ID value for determining when the route entry has been processed by each of the plurality of routing protocols [col. 11, lines 1-27 and col. 13, lines 11-57].

As per claim 7, Marques et al teach a computer program product for use on a computer system for synchronizing a route to change in a routing table with a plurality of routing protocols (fig. 2, 220(1-N)) in a network device in a communication network, the computer program product comprising a computer useable medium having computer readable program code thereon [figs 5A and 5B and col. 10, lines 8-37]:

the claim includes similar limitations as discussed in claim 1 above. Therefore, it is rejected with the same rationale.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,350 Page 6

Art Unit: 2153

As per claim 8, Marques et al teach the computer program product according to claim 7, wherein the route change is the addition of

a new route to the routing table [col. 15, lines 10-23].

As per claim 9, Marques et al teach the computer program product

according to claim 7, wherein the route change is the deletion of

a route from the routing table [col. 15, lines 10-23].

As per claim 10, Marques et al teach the computer program product

according to claim 7, wherein the route change is updating a

route in the routing table [col. 15, lines 10-23].

As per claim 11, Marques et al teach the computer program product

according to claim 7, further including program code for

processing routes in the route change queue with route ID values

greater than the bookmark value of the routing protocol [Figs 10

and 14; col. 13, lines 11-41 and col.14, lines 63 to col. 15.

line 23].

Conclusion

The prior made of record and not relied upon is considered 3.

pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2153

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yasin Barqadle whose telephone number is 703-305-5971. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Burgess can be reached on 703-305-9717. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Yasin Barqadle

December 7, 2003

GLÆNTON B. BØRGESS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100